

## BANKER'S ALGORITHM

- The resource-allocation-graph algorithm is not applicable to a system with multiple instances of each resource type.
- Banker's algorithm is able to deal with multiple instances of different resource types.
- The name was chosen because the algorithm could be used in a banking system to ensure that the bank never allocated its available cash in such a way that it could no longer satisfy the needs of all its customers.
- When a new process enters the system, it must declare the maximum number of instances of each resource type that it may need.
- This number may not exceed the total number of resources in the system.
- When a user requests a set of resources, the system must determine whether the allocation of these resources will leave the system in a safe state.
- If it will, the resources are allocated; otherwise, the process must wait until some other process releases enough resources.
- Several **data structures** must be maintained to implement the banker's algorithm.
- We need the following data structures, where  $n$  is the number of processes in the system and  $m$  is the number of resource types:

1. **Available.** A vector of length  $m$  indicates the number of available resources of each type. If  $Available[j]$  equals  $k$ , then  $k$  instances of resource type  $R_j$  are available.
  2. **Max.** An  $n \times m$  matrix defines the maximum demand of each process. If  $Max[i][j]$  equals  $k$ , then process  $P_i$  may request at most  $k$  instances of resource type  $R_j$ .
  3. **Allocation.** An  $n \times m$  matrix defines the number of resources of each type currently allocated to each process. If  $Allocation[i][j]$  equals  $k$ , then process  $P_i$  is currently allocated  $k$  instances of resource type  $R_j$ .
  4. **Need.** An  $n \times m$  matrix indicates the remaining resource need of each process. If  $Need[i][j]$  equals  $k$ , then process  $P_i$  may need  $k$  more instances of resource type  $R_j$  to complete its task.
- Note that  $Need[i][j] = Max[i][j] - Allocation[i][j]$ .
  - Banker's Algorithm uses 2 sub-algorithms
    1. Safety Algorithm
    2. Resource-Request Algorithm

### Safety Algorithm

1. Let  $Work$  and  $Finish$  be vectors of length  $m$  and  $n$ , respectively. Initialize  $Work = Available$  and  $Finish[i] = false$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ .
2. Find an index  $i$  such that both
  - a.  $Finish[i] == false$
  - b.  $Need_i \leq Work$
 If no such  $i$  exists, go to step 4.

3.  $Work = Work + Allocation_i$

$Finish[i] = true$

Go to step 2.

4. If  $Finish[i] == true$  for all  $i$ , then the system is in a safe state.

- This algorithm may require an order of  $m \times n^2$  operations to determine whether a state is safe.

### Resource-Request Algorithm

- This algorithm is used for determining whether requests can be safely granted.
- Let  $Request_i$  be the request vector for process  $P_i$ .
- When a request for resources is made by process  $P_i$ , the following actions are taken:

1. If  $Request_i \leq Need_i$ , go to step 2. Otherwise, raise an error condition, since the process has exceeded its maximum claim.

2. If  $Request_i \leq Available$ , go to step 3. Otherwise,  $P_i$  must wait, since the resources are not available.

3. Have the system pretend to have allocated the requested resources to process  $P_i$  by modifying the state as follows:

$$Available = Available - Request_i ;$$

$$Allocation_i = Allocation_i + Request_i ;$$

$$Need_i = Need_i - Request_i ;$$

- If the resulting resource-allocation state is safe, the transaction is completed, and process  $P_i$  is allocated its resources.
- However, if the new state is unsafe, then  $P_i$  must wait for *Request<sub>i</sub>*, and the old resource-allocation state is restored.

### Problem

- Consider a system with five processes  $P_0$  through  $P_4$  and three resource types A, B, and C. Resource type A has ten instances, resource type B has five instances, and resource type C has seven instances. Suppose that, at time  $T_0$ , the following snapshot of the system has been taken:

	<u>Allocation</u>	<u>Max</u>	<u>Available</u>
	A B C	A B C	A B C
$P_0$	0 1 0	7 5 3	3 3 2
$P_1$	2 0 0	3 2 2	
$P_2$	3 0 2	9 0 2	
$P_3$	2 1 1	2 2 2	
$P_4$	0 0 2	4 3 3	

- Find whether the system is safe. If yes, give the safe sequence
- Suppose that process  $P_1$  requests one additional instance of resource type A and two instances of resource type C. Whether it can be immediately granted?
- After granting (b), if  $P_4$  request for (3,3,0), whether it can be granted?
- Can a request for (0,2,0) by  $P_0$  be granted?

## Solution

*Need = Max – Allocation*

	<u>Need</u>
	A B C
$P_0$	7 4 3
$P_1$	1 2 2
$P_2$	6 0 0
$P_3$	0 1 1
$P_4$	4 3 1

## Solution (a)

Run Safety Algorithm

Work = Available = (3,3,2)

Consider P0

Need0 = (7,4,3)

Need0 (7,4,3) not less than Work (3,3,2)

Consider P1

Need1 = (1,2,2) is less than Work (3,3,2) //each element is less. So we can complete P1

Work = Work + Allocation1

ie Work = (3,3,2) + (2,0,0) = (5,3,2)

Set Finish[1] = true //P1 finished

Consider P2

Need2 (6,0,0) not less than Work (5,3,2)

Consider P3

Need3 (0,1,1) is less than Work (5,3,2)

So we can complete P3

Work = Work + Allocation3

Work = (5,3,2) + (2,1,1) = (7,4,3)

Set Finish[3] = true      **//P3 finished**

Consider P4

Need4 (4,3,1) is less than Work (7,4,3)

So we can complete P4

Work = Work + Allocation4

Work = (7,4,3) + (0,0,2) = (7,4,5)

Set Finish[4] = true      **//P4 finished**

Consider P0

Need0 (7,4,3) is less than Work (7,4,5)

So we can complete P0

Work = Work + Allocation0

Work = (7,4,5) + (0,1,0) = (7,5,5)

Set Finish[0] = true      **//P0 finished**

Consider P2

Need2 (6,0,0) is less than Work (7,5,5)

So we can complete P2

Work = Work + Allocation2

Work = (7,5,5) + (3,0,2) = (10,5,7)

Set Finish[2] = true      **//P2 finished**

We could successfully complete all the processes.

**So the system is safe and the safe sequence is the order of completion <P1, P3, P4, P0, P2>**

At last the Work becomes the initial counts of resources A, B and C as (10,5,7)

### Solution (b)

Suppose that process P1 requests one additional instance of resource type A and two instances of resource type C.

Form Request vector Request1 = (1,0,2) and run Resource – Request Algorithm

Request1 (1,0,2) is less than Need1 (1,2,2)

Request1 (1,0,2) is less than Available (3,3,2)

Pretend to have allocated

Available = Available – Request1 ;

// Available = (3,3,2) – (1,0,2) = (2,3,0)

Allocation1 = Allocation1 + Request1 ;

// Allocation1 = (2,0,0) + (1,0,2) = (3,0,2)

Need1 = Need1 – Request1 ;

// Need1 = (1,2,2) - (1,0,2) = (0,2,0)

New state is shown below:

	<u>Allocation</u>	<u>Need</u>	<u>Available</u>
	A B C	A B C	A B C
P <sub>0</sub>	0 1 0	7 4 3	2 3 0
P <sub>1</sub>	3 0 2	0 2 0	
P <sub>2</sub>	3 0 2	6 0 0	
P <sub>3</sub>	2 1 1	0 1 1	
P <sub>4</sub>	0 0 2	4 3 1	

While running safety algorithm (*home work*), we get a safe sequence  $\langle P1, P3, P4, P0, P2 \rangle$ . So the system is safe.

**Hence the request can be immediately granted**

### Solution (c)

If P4 request for  $(3,3,0)$ , whether it can be granted?

Request<sub>4</sub>  $(3,3,0)$  is less than Need<sub>4</sub>  $(4,3,1)$

But Request<sub>4</sub>  $(3,3,0)$  is not less than Available  $(2,3,0)$

So as per step2 of Request algorithm, **the request cannot be immediately granted. P4 should wait.**

### Solution (d)

Can a request for  $(0,2,0)$  by P0 be granted?

Request<sub>0</sub>  $(0,2,0)$  is less than Need<sub>0</sub>  $(7,4,3)$

Request<sub>0</sub>  $(0,2,0)$  is less than Available  $(2,3,0)$

Pretend to have allocated

Available = Available – Request<sub>0</sub> ;

// Available =  $(2,3,0) - (0,2,0) = (2,1,0)$

Allocation<sub>0</sub> = Allocation<sub>0</sub> + Request<sub>0</sub> ;

// Allocation<sub>0</sub> =  $(0,1,0) + (0,2,0) = (0,3,0)$

Need<sub>0</sub> = Need<sub>0</sub> – Request<sub>0</sub> ;

// Need<sub>0</sub> =  $(7,4,3) - (0,2,0) = (7,2,3)$

New state is shown below:



	<u>Allocation</u>	<u>Need</u>	<u>Available</u>
	A B C	A B C	A B C
$P_0$	0 3 0	<b>7</b> 2 3	2 1 0
$P_1$	3 0 2	0 2 0	
$P_2$	3 0 2	6 0 0	
$P_3$	2 1 1	0 1 1	
$P_4$	0 0 2	4 3 1	

Run safety algorithm. No process can complete. So no safe sequences exist. **So system is unsafe. Hence request cannot be granted.**

## DEADLOCK DETECTION ALGORITHM

- **Similar to Bankers algorithm**
- In Bankers algorithm (deadlock avoidance), future information (*Max & Need*) is considered. But during deadlock detection, future information is not needed. Only the present request is considered.
- All data structures in detection algorithms are same as that of Bankers algorithm except in the case of *Max & Need*.
- Here we use a matrix *Request* instead of *Need*. Max is avoided
- **Deadlock detection Algorithm:**  
[Write Bankers algorithm by renaming Need as Request]

### Problem

Consider a system with five processes  $P_0$  through  $P_4$  and three resource types A, B, and C. Resource type A has seven

instances, resource type B has two instances, and resource type C has six instances. Suppose that, at time T<sub>0</sub>, we have the following resource-allocation state:

	<u>Allocation</u>	<u>Request</u>
	A B C	A B C
$P_0$	0 1 0	0 0 0
$P_1$	2 0 0	2 0 2
$P_2$	3 0 3	0 0 0
$P_3$	2 1 1	1 0 0
$P_4$	0 0 2	0 0 2

- (a) Check whether the system is in deadlock or not  
 (b) Suppose that process P<sub>2</sub> makes one additional request for an instance of type C. Check whether it leads to deadlock? If yes, find the processes involved in deadlock.

### Solution

First we have to find out Available

Total allocated number of resource type A is  $0+2+3+2+0=7$

Total allocated number of resource type B is  $1+0+0+1+0=2$

Total allocated number of resource type C is  $0+0+3+1+2=6$

So remaining is (0,0,0) which is our Available

### Solution (a)

Run safety algorithm and we can find a safe sequence

$\langle P_0, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_1 \rangle$  **So system is safe, hence not in deadlock**

### **Solution (b)**

Additional Request<sub>2</sub> = (0,0,1). It may be added with current request of P<sub>2</sub>. Request matrix is updated as below

	<u>Request</u>		
	A	B	C
P <sub>0</sub>	0	0	0
P <sub>1</sub>	2	0	2
P <sub>2</sub>	0	0	1
P <sub>3</sub>	1	0	0
P <sub>4</sub>	0	0	2

Run safety algorithm. We didn't get a safe sequence. Only P<sub>0</sub> is completed.

**Hence the system is in deadlock situation and the processes involved in deadlock are P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>3</sub> and P<sub>4</sub>**